

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, it is respectfully submitted that this petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

PHILIP B. PERLMAN,
Solicitor General.

SEPTEMBER 1949.

INDEX

	Page No.
Opinions below	1
Jurisdiction	1
Questions presented	2
Statute involved	2
Statement	3
Specification of errors to be urged	8
Reasons for granting the writ	8
Conclusion	14

CITATIONS

Cases:

<i>Henry v. Hodges</i> , 171 F. 2d 401, certiorari denied, 336 U. S. 968	8, 9, 12
<i>Humphrey v. Smith</i> , 336 U. S. 695	13
<i>Martin v. Mott</i> , 12 Wheat. 19	11
<i>Mullan v. United States</i> , 140 U. S. 240	11
<i>Quirin, Ex parte</i> , 317 U. S. 1	13
<i>Swain v. United States</i> , 165 U. S. 553	11
<i>Wade v. Hunter</i> , 336 U. S. 684	11
<i>Yamashita, In re</i> , 327 U. S. 1	13

Statutes:

AW 4 (10 U.S.C. 1475)	10, 11
A.W. 8 (10 U.S.C. 1479)	2, 4, 6, 10, 11
AW 8, as revised and effective February 1, 1949 (10 U.S.C., Supp. II, 1479)	11
AW 46 (10 U.S.C. 1517)	3
AW 501½ (10 U.S.C. 1522)	3
A.W. 70 (10 U.S.C. 1542)	4, 6
A.W. 92 (10 U.S.C. 1564)	3

Miscellaneous:

Manual for Courts-Martial (1949), Sec. 4(e), p. 3	11
---	----